

REMARKS


In response to the restriction requirement in the Office Action of February 1, 2007, Applicant hereby elects with traverse Group 1, claims 1, 6, 7, 10, 11, and 14, drawn to a light emitting device wherein the first transistor and the second transistor are p-type.

Claims 6 and 17 have been amended to correct a minor matter of form, and new claims 23-25 have been added.

Applicant traverses the holding that groups 1-4 and 5-7 are related as subcombination-combination. The combination does not have separate patentability in itself and rather relies upon the features of the subcombination for patentability. In the Official Action, the Examiner fails to provide a clear explanation as to this requirement, and simply states "In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability." Applicant respectfully disagrees and requests reconsideration.

Examination on the merits is requested.

Respectfully submitted,


Eric J. Robinson
Reg. No. 38,285

Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C.
PMB 955
21010 Southbank Street
Potomac Falls, Virginia 20165
(571) 434-6789